

Risk Impact

Appendix 1

Score	Description	Members and Employers	Administration
1	Insignificant	<p>Negligible impact - not noticeable by members or employers, no complaints or issues likely to be raised by members or employers.</p> <p>Example - Member or employer communication newsletter issued a few days later than planned.</p>	<p>Negligible impact - low level administrative issues resolved internally with no impact on key performance indicators</p> <p>Example - A manageable backlog of data to be uploaded to the administration system that has no impact on actual member payments.</p>
2	Minor	<p>Minor impact on members and/or employers which may cause correspondence about issues that can be resolved at source.</p> <p>Example - A member not being given the correct information first time when corresponding with the Fund and this having to be corrected, but having no impact on benefits paid</p>	<p>Minor impact on administration performance requiring action within business as usual parameters.</p> <p>Example - an employer experiencing persist difficulty in providing correct data resulting in the need for extra training/support/correspondence to resolve</p>
3	Moderate	<p>Material adverse impact on members or employers that is of cause for concern to them and the Fund and requires escalation for non-business as usual resolutions</p> <p>More likely to be isolated issues but could have some scale.</p> <p>Example - Inability to finalise and sign off an admission agreement with a new employer resulting in escalation.</p>	<p>Material impact on administration performance, but manageable within approved policies and procedures.</p> <p>Examples - Inability to agree a transfer of membership and liabilities from another fund, requiring arbitration by a third party, or disappointing data quality scores resulting in a need for an improvement plan.</p>
4	Major	<p>Significant adverse impact on members or employers that result in a direct impact on benefits paid or contributions due or member or employer satisfaction with Fund performance. Likely to result in complaints.</p> <p>More likely to be systemic issues.</p> <p>Examples - A significant delay in the issue of member annual benefit statements, or persistently charging an employer an incorrect contribution rate.</p>	<p>Major failure of administration function, likely to be systematic in nature, of a high profile nature to members and employers.</p> <p>Example - Widespread and persistent failure to meet key performance indicators such as dealing with certain types of administration query or action within deadlines, and receipt of significant numbers of complaints from members.</p>
5	Catastrophic	<p>Serious and systematic errors in benefits payments or administration KPIs, or significant volatility or increase in employer contributions.</p> <p>Significant breaches of the law</p> <p>Serious complaints and reputational harm caused</p> <p>Example - Systematic failure to monitor employer contributions resulting in subsequent identification of a large number of contribution deficits that employers cannot then catch up with.</p>	<p>Catastrophic failure of administration function leading to inability to pay benefits accurately or at all on a large scale.</p> <p>Significant breaches of the law</p> <p>Serious complaints and reputational harm caused</p> <p>Example - Wholesale failure of the pension payroll function resulting in no member payments being made.</p>